WATER CHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF WELLS IN THREE SETTLEMENTS OF SUCEAVA PLATEAU, ROMANIA
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Abstract: Water from wells in Humoreni, Comănești and Soloneț (Suceava County, Romania) was sampled in order to measure the concentration of ammonium, nitrite, nitrate, orthophosphate and sulfide. The measured values were used to map the spatial variation of each parameter. Relevant spatial resolution was obtained due to the 15 sampling points in each settlement. The water quality was assessed according to the national standards. The worst water quality (deteriorated class) was recorded in Humoreni and Soloneț (for the orthophosphate parameter), while in Comănești the same water quality was recorded for nitrate. Mediocre water quality was recorded for ammonium in Humoreni; the same settlement also had the highest nitrite concentration (as compared to the other settlements in this study), included in the average water quality class. Sulfide was barely present in the analyzed samples and did not exceed the maximum admissible concentration; however, there are higher concentrations in Soloneț village. The main cause of the observed ground water pollution is the lack of sewerage systems in the studied settlements.
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1. Introduction

The water chemistry is in a permanent change in the inhabited areas due to changes in human behavior and land use. The dynamic socio-economic processes are equally affecting surface and ground waters.

The water quality in Suceava Plateau is analyzed in numerous papers that focus mainly on surface waters, such as rivers [1-6] or lakes [7, 8]. There is a generally decreasing water quality downstream [8-10]. The changed surface water chemistry (affected by human activities [11, 12]) is often an indicator of ground water pollution. The ground water contamination and quality in Suceava County is directly investigated in recent scientific works [1, 13, 14]. Not only the temporal evolution of contaminant concentration is of interest, but also its spatial/territorial changes, especially on small surfaces such as the built areas of settlements in Suceava Plateau [15-19]. The latter studies show significant variability of ground water pollution within the built areas, depending on population density, economic practices and various land uses.

The objective of this study is to contribute to the knowledge of the spatial variation of selected contaminants in the ground water of the built area of settlements in Suceava Plateau. The analysis is centered on nutrients found in ground water, as they are the main indicators of the social and environmental problems caused by the extensive usage of cesspools/soak pits in rural areas [20].
2. Study area

The study area is located in NE Romania, in Suceava County. The three studied settlements are Humorenii, Comânești and Soloneț. These are villages that belong to 2 communes, Comânești (Comânești and Humorenii villages) and Todirești (Soloneț village). According to the 2011 census [21], the population number of Comânești and Humorenii is similar: 1068 inhabitants and, respectively, 1026 inhabitants. Soloneț village had a population of 1527 inhabitants, according to the same source. The total population of the studied area has diminished over the last 25 years, but the human impact on environment has increased due to the higher standards of living. The higher standards include water supply networks for some inhabitants.

The three settlements are completely included in the middle catchment of Soloneț River. Their built areas are crossed by Soloneț River and its tributaries (most main tributaries flow from the western hills: Ruscanul, Cajvana, Botoșana etc.). The hilly landscape is a succession of cuestas with the gentle slopes on the left side of Soloneț River catchment and the steep slopes on the other side (Fig. 1a). The surface lithology is represented by layers of sands, clays, sandstones, marls, gravel and loess.

Soloneț and Comânești lie on homoclinal geological strata and their ground water flows according to the geological strata slope (NW-SE) and to the terrain elevation (eg. towards Soloneț River). In the floodplain of Soloneț River, the ground
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water flow is influenced by the horizontal strata, the river water level and the streamflow direction. The ground water flow in Humoreni on the left side of Soloneţ River and in its floodplain has the same principles as in the other 2 settlements. In the eastern side of Humoreni administrative area, there are deluvial deposits that generate generally unpredictable ground water flow. Also, according to a recent study, there could exist folded geologic strata in Suceava Plateau [22] and that would directly alter the ground water flow intensity and direction, with implications on contaminant dispersion.

The climate of the study area is temperate continental with an average annual air temperature of ~8°C (with negative temperatures in the cold season) and an average annual sum of precipitation of ~600 mm (with main rainfalls during the warm season) [23].

3. Materials and methods

Water samples from the selected wells were collected in 2015 as part of a broader sampling campaign that analyzed the ground water quality in the western part of Suceava Plateau [18, 19]. Water was sampled on August, 28th from Comăneşti and on August, 29th from Humoreni and Soloneţ. There were 45 sampling points equally distributed within the built areas of the three settlements (Fig. 1b-d).

The water from wells was collected in polyethylene bottles and analyzed within 1 day from sampling. The water chemistry was analyzed by using a Hach 2800 spectrophotometer with specific reagents and methods based on USEPA regulations [24]. Detection limits of the reagents used in this study are: 5-800 μg/l (S^{2-}), 0.015-2 mg/l (NH_4^+), 0.002-0.3 mg/l (NO_2^-), 0.1-10 mg/l (NO_3^-), 0.02-2.5 mg/l (PO_4^{3-}).

The water quality of the analyzed samples was established by using the classification in Order 161/2006 of the Romanian government. The spatial distribution of the water quality classes within the studied built areas was calculated by using the interpolation between the values of the sampling points in ArcGIS software, resulting in water quality maps.

Synthetic maps of general water quality were obtained by applying the principle used by “Apele Române” National Administration - marking each sampling point with the worst quality recorded by the various analyses (in our case, the worst quality recorded by any nutrient indicator (sulfides do not have quality classes)). On the same maps, an alternative general water quality was estimated by using the arithmetic mean of all quality classes recorded in each sampling point (of each parameter); this calculus method was also implemented in previous studies [17-19] and assumes the value of 1 to the best water quality class and 5 to the worst one.

The methodology in this study is identical to that used by Oprea et al. [18, 19] for assessing the water quality in settlements neighbouring our study area and, therefore, the results are comparable, especially because of the localization of all settlements in the Soloneţ River catchment. More information regarding the sampling environment and methods is found in dissertation theses of some authors of this study [25-27] (unpublished works that analyzed the ground water quality in the study area).
4. Results and discussion

Sulfide contamination of the studied water wells is not a threat. Thus, only one point per built area with concentration above the lower detection limit was recorded in Comănești and Humoreni. These concentrations were recorded at point no. 1 (17 μg/l S²⁻) and at point no. 11 (6 μg/l S²⁻), respectively. In Soloneț village, on the other side, there were 6 points with detectable sulfides. These points (no. 6-11) are grouped on the western side of the village, possibly having a common source of contamination. The found concentrations for points 6-11 are 6 μg/l, 8 μg/l, 22 μg/l, 23 μg/l, 20 μg/l and, respectively, 10 μg/l. In all three settlements, the sulfide concentrations were below the Maximum Admissible Concentration (MAC), which is conventionally set at 100 μg/l.

The western neighbour of Soloneț village is Cajvana town. A previous study of Oprea and Savu [18] detected certain sulfide contamination only in the easternmost sampling point of the town’s built area. An aerial or satellite view of Soloneț and Cajvana settlements reveals that the short road linking their official built areas is also sparsely constructed with individual houses and properties that link the built areas with a corridor having significant human activities which may lead to ground water pollution. The spatial extension of the common sulfide contamination area, spreading between the eastern part of Cajvana and the western part of Soloneț, is most probably favoured by its superposition on the drainage divide that separate Rușcanul and Cajvana River catchments.

The ground water contamination with ammonium is weak as can be observed in Fig. 2 (the water quality is good and very good). A significant exception is only in the sampling point no. 12 of Humoreni (2.95 mg/l N-NH₄⁺, mediocre quality).
The high nitrite pollution in the same point (Fig. 3a) and its weak contamination with nitrate (Fig. 4a) suggest that an important ground water pollution with ammonium occurred in the ground water surrounding the water well or in the well itself short time prior to the water sampling for analysis. The average ammonium concentration in all settlements is lower than the MAC (0.5 mg/l). The average concentration in Humoreni is 0.058 mg/l N-NH₄⁺ (the outlier is not included in calculus); it is 0.070 mg/l N-NH₄⁺ in Comănești and 0.043 mg/l N-NH₄⁺ in Soloneț.

One can observe that there are high ammonium concentrations around sampling point no. 12 in Humoreni; these concentrations are 2-5 times higher than the modal value in Humoreni. This contamination behavior may be indicative of a buffer zone or of a broader area with mechanisms similar to those that generated the high ammonium pollution in sampling point no. 12.

Compared to other settlements in Soloneț River catchment where the water quality in wells was assessed (ammonium - very good water quality exclusively [18, 19]), the water quality in our study area is worse (for ammonium concentration), with some areas having good or worse water quality.

There is no significant water contamination with nitrite excepting point no. 12 in Humoreni (Fig. 3). That contamination is the result of ammonium conversion into nitrite through biological oxidation done by various microorganisms.

The most frequent causes of nitrite contamination are the in-situ dejections and organic manure disposal through the usage of cesspools/septic tanks in the absence of a sewerage (no settlement in the studied area has a sewerage network or a wastewater treatment plant) and the animal husbandry in the built area, in spaces that lack proper buildings and practices.
The nitrite contamination is similar to the one observed in nearby settlements [18, 19]. The average concentrations measured in the study area are: 0.008 mg/l N-NO$_2^-$ in Humoreni, 0.005 mg/l N-NO$_2^-$ in Comănești and 0.007 mg/l N-NO$_2^-$ in Soloneț. The MAC for nitrite (0.5 mg/l) is not exceeded in the monitored water wells. There is a widespread nitrate pollution in the three settlements (Fig. 4). The main causes of nitrate pollution in this rural area is the nitrite oxidation and the usage of nitrogen-based fertilizers (even the organic fertilizers generated from animal husbandry).

Fertilizers are frequently used in the large gardens or agricultural fields that surround the streets along which the houses are built. The studied villages have a complex mosaic of pervious and impervious surfaces generated by the streets network. This complexity incurs the nitrate pollution of the water wells when fertilizers are used in the local agriculture for increased farm output.

The pollution with nitrates is similar to the pollution of the same type observed in other settlements of Soloneț River catchment [18, 19]. The average values of the measured concentrations are: 2.6 mg/l N-NO$_3^-$ in Humoreni, 3.4 mg/l N-NO$_3^-$ in Comănești and 3.1 mg/l N-NO$_3^-$ in Soloneț. The MAC for nitrate (50 mg/l) is not exceeded in the water samples of the monitored wells.

The ground water pollution with orthophosphates is the worst pollution with nutrients in the analyzed area. It has the biggest surfaces occupied with water in the degraded and deteriorated classes (Fig. 5). The water pollution with phosphorus in this area is caused by fertilizers containing phosphorus and by wastewaters rich in detergents. As part of the increasing standards of living, water supply networks are built in Humoreni and Comănești, even if there is no sewerage network.
According to the Suceava County Masterplan [28], there is a ~10% population coverage with water supply network in Humoreni, with a future perspective of 80%. The population coverage with a water supply network in Comănești is ~15%, with a 100% future perspective. There is no water supply network in Soloneț, but there are plans to offer such a service to the entire population of the village.

The average concentrations of the measured orthophosphates are 1.5 mg/l PO$_4^{3-}$ in Humoreni, 0.12 mg/l PO$_4^{3-}$ in Comănești and 1.1 mg/l PO$_4^{3-}$ in Soloneț. The MAC for orthophosphate (50 mg/l) is not exceeded in the water samples taken from the selected wells.

The environmental problems caused by the lack of a sewerage network and a wastewater treatment plant is not specific only to rural areas with low purchasing power. Even in countries with the highest gross domestic product per capita, important ground water pollution with nitrogen and phosphorus occurs from septic systems of any kind [29].

According to the “Apele Române” National Administration methodology for determining the general water quality by using the existing analyses, there are deteriorated waters in all three built areas (Fig. 6). The worst water quality is recorded for the orthophosphate parameter in Humoreni and Soloneț and for nitrate parameter in Comănești.

However, according to the mean water quality obtained through the arithmetic mean, the most polluted points in Humoreni are not no. 13 or 6, but the sampling points located north of them (Fig. 6a). Also, there is a continuous belt of the highest water pollution that divides Soloneț village on a west-east direction. The general water quality in Comănești is the same irrespective to the methodology used for classification.
4. Conclusion

The implementation of water supply networks in rural areas of Suceava Plateau leads to increased ground water pollution because of the missing sewerage networks and wastewater treatment plants. The most intense and territorially frequent types of ground water pollution are the nitrate and orthophosphate pollution, originated from human and animal manure and dejections, from fertilizers for the built area agriculture and from detergents found in wastewaters. The contamination of water in wells of Humoreni, Comănești and Soloneț has a similar profile to that of other settlements in Soloneț River catchment. The existing water supply networks in the studied area use water from local sources, but, if the observed ground water pollution extends out of the built area due to increased human activities, there is a risk of contaminating the tap water. As the water supply networks are progressively extending in the built areas of the studied settlements (as planned and implemented strategy), the ground water pollution will increase because of the missing sewerage systems, which means that the entirety of the provided drinkable water becomes polluted ground water.
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